

## A Note on Essentially Left $\phi$ -Contractible Banach Algebras

Isaac Almasi · Amir Sahami\*

Received: 1 February 2020 / Accepted: 1 June 2020

**Abstract** In this note, we show that [11, Corollary 3.2] is not always true. In fact, we characterize essential left  $\phi$ -contractibility of group algebras in terms of compactness of its related locally compact group. Also, we show that for any compact commutative group  $G$ ,  $L^2(G)$  is always essentially left  $\phi$ -contractible. We discuss the essential left  $\phi$ -contractibility of some Fourier algebras.

**Keywords** Group algebra · Essential left  $\phi$ -contractible · Banach algebra

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 46H05 · 46H25 · 43A20.

### 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Johnson introduced and studied the notion of amenability for Banach algebras. A Banach algebra  $A$  is called amenable, if every continuous (bounded linear) derivation  $D$  from  $A$  into  $X^*$  is inner, that is,  $D$  has a form

$$D(a) = a \cdot x_0 - x_0 \cdot a \quad (a \in A),$$

for some  $x_0 \in X^*$ , where  $X$  is a Banach  $A$ -bimodule. For the history of amenability of Banach algebras, see [10].

---

I. Almasi  
Faculty of Basic sciences, Department of Mathematics, Ilam University, P.O.Box 69315-516,  
Ilam, Iran.  
E-mail: i.almasi@ilam.aut.ac.ir

\*Corresponding author  
A. Sahami  
Faculty of Basic sciences, Department of Mathematics, Ilam University, P.O.Box 69315-516,  
Ilam, Iran.  
E-mail: a.sahami@ilam.aut.ac.ir

Ghahramani and Loy in [2] defined a generalized notion of amenability for Banach algebras called essential amenability, that is, every continuous derivation  $D$  from  $A$  into  $X^*$  is inner, where  $X$  is an arbitrary neo-unital Banach  $A$ -bimodule ( $X = A \cdot X \cdot A$ ).

Kanuith et. al. in [5] defined and investigated the notion of left  $\phi$ -amenability for a Banach algebra  $A$ , where  $\phi$  is a non-zero multiplicative linear functional. Indeed a Banach algebra  $A$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable if every derivation  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$  is inner, where  $X$  is a Banach  $A$ -bimodule with the left module action  $a \cdot x = \phi(a)x$  for all  $a \in A, x \in X$ . It is known that for a locally compact group  $G$ , the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable. Also the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  is always left  $\phi$ -amenable, see [5] and [12].

Motivated by these considerations Nasr-isfahani et. al. in [6] introduced the concept of essential left  $\phi$ -amenability for Banach algebras. A Banach algebra  $A$  is called essentially left  $\phi$ -amenable if every derivation  $D : A \rightarrow X^*$  is inner, where  $X$  is a neo-unital Banach  $A$ -bimodule with the left module action  $a \cdot x = \phi(a)x$  for all  $a \in A, x \in X$ . Nasr-isfahani et. al. studied some Banach algebras related to a locally compact groups under the concept of essential left  $\phi$ -amenability.

Recently R. Sadeghi Nahrkhalaji defined the concept of essential left  $\phi$ -contractible for Banach algebras. A Banach algebra  $A$  is called essentially left  $\phi$ -contractible if every continuous derivation  $D : A \rightarrow X$  is inner, where  $X$  is a neo-unital Banach  $A$ -bimodule with the right module action  $x \cdot a = \phi(a)x$  for all  $a \in A, x \in X$ , see [11]. R. Sadeghi Nahrkhalaji studied the essentially left  $\phi$ -contractibility of some Banach algebras related to a locally compact group. Also some hereditary properties of this new notion are given in [11].

In this paper, we study essentially left  $\phi$ -contractibility of Banach algebras. We show that [11, Corollary 3.2] is not always true. In fact, we characterize essential left  $\phi$ -contractibility of the the group algebras in terms of compactness of its related locally compact group. Also we show that for any compact commutative group  $G$ ,  $L^2(G)$  is always essentially left  $\phi$ -contractible. We discuss essential left  $\phi$ -contractibility of some Fourier algebras.

We give some notations and definitions that we use in this paper frequently. Suppose that  $A$  is a Banach algebra. Throughout this manuscript, the character space of  $A$  is denoted by  $\Delta(A)$ , that is, all non-zero multiplicative linear functionals (characters) on  $A$ .

The projective tensor product  $A \otimes_p A$  is a Banach  $A$ -bimodule via the following actions

$$a \cdot (b \otimes c) = ab \otimes c, \quad (b \otimes c) \cdot a = b \otimes ca \quad (a, b, c \in A).$$

The product morphism  $\pi_A : A \otimes_p A \rightarrow A$  is given by  $\pi_A(a \otimes b) = ab$ , for every  $a, b \in A$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach  $A$ -bimodules. The map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is called  $A$ -bimodule morphism, if

$$T(a \cdot x) = a \cdot T(x), \quad T(x \cdot a) = T(x) \cdot a, \quad (a \in A, x \in X).$$

## 2 Essential left $\phi$ -contractibility

Note that the Cohen-Hewit factorization is valid, whenever the Banach algebra  $A$  has a "bounded" left approximate identity, see [4, Theorem 1.1.4, p2]. Then in [11, Proposition 2.3] to show that  $A \otimes_p A$  is neo-unital,  $A$  must have a bounded approximate identity. So we state the correct version of [11, Proposition 2.3] here.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then  $A$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if  $A$  is essentially left  $\phi$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* See the proof of [11, Proposition 2.3].  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $L^1(G)$  be its associated group algebra. We denote  $\widehat{G}$  for the dual group of  $G$ , that is, the set of all non-zero continuous homomorphisms  $\rho$  from  $G$  into  $T = \{z \in C : |z| = 1\}$ . It is known that every non-zero multiplicative linear functional on  $L^1(G)$  has the form  $\phi_\rho$  for some  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ , where

$$\phi_\rho(f) = \int_G \overline{\rho(x)} f(x) dx, \quad f \in L^1(G),$$

where  $dx$  is denoted for the Haar measure. For more information about the characters of group algebra see [3, Theorem 23.7].

We should remind that a Banach algebra is left  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if there exists an element  $m \in A$  such that  $am = \phi(a)m$  and  $\phi(m) = 1$  for all  $a \in A$ . For knowing more about left  $\phi$ -contractibility of a Banach algebra and its hereditary properties through the homological approach, see [7].

**Theorem 2** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $L^1(G)$  is essentially  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if  $G$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a compact group. Then each continuous homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow T$  belongs to  $L^\infty(G)$ . On the other hand  $L^\infty(G) \subseteq L^1(G)$ . So  $\rho \in L^1(G)$ . Consider

$$f * \rho(x) = \int_G f(y) \rho(y^{-1}x) dy = \int_G f(y) \rho(y^{-1}) \rho(x) dy$$

and

$$\int_G f(y) \rho(y^{-1}) \rho(x) dy = \rho(x) \int_G f(y) \overline{\rho(y)} dy = \rho(x) \phi_\rho(f).$$

It follows that  $f * \rho = \phi_\rho(f)\rho$ . Also

$$\phi_\rho(\rho) = \int_G \rho(x) \overline{\rho(x)} dy = \int_G \rho(x) \rho(x^{-1}) dy = \int_G 1 dx = 1,$$

here we consider the normalized Haar measure on  $G$ . Thus by [7, Theorem 2.1]  $L^1(G)$  is left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible. So  $L^1(G)$  is essentially left  $\phi$ -contractible

Conversely, suppose that  $L^1(G)$  is essentially left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible. Since  $L^1(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity, by [11, Proposition 2.3], essential left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractibility of  $L^1(G)$  implies the left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractibility of  $L^1(G)$ . Applying [1, Theorem 3.3] follows that  $G$  is compact.

□

In the following theorem, we show that  $ii \Rightarrow iv$  of [11, Corollary 3.2] is not valid just only for a finite group  $G$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a locally compact group. It is well-known that  $L^2(G)$  is a Banach algebra with convolution if and only if  $G$  is compact.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $G$  be a compact commutative group. Then  $L^2(G)$  is essentially left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible, for each  $\phi_\rho \in \Delta(L^2(G))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L^2(G)$  be essentially left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible. It is known by Plancherel Theorem [9, Theorem 1.6.1] that  $L^2(G)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\ell^2(\widehat{G})$ , where  $\ell^2(\widehat{G})$  is equipped with the pointwise multiplication. Zhang in [13, Example] showed that  $\ell^2(\widehat{G})$  is approximately biprojective, that is, there exists a net  $\rho_\alpha : \ell^2(\widehat{G}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\widehat{G}) \otimes_p \ell^2(\widehat{G})$  of  $\ell^2(\widehat{G})$ -bimodule morphisms such that  $\pi_{\ell^2(\widehat{G})} \circ \rho_\alpha(a) \rightarrow a$ , for each  $a \in \ell^2(\widehat{G})$ , where  $\pi_{\ell^2(\widehat{G})} : \ell^2(\widehat{G}) \otimes_p \ell^2(\widehat{G}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\widehat{G})$  is the product morphism given by  $\pi_{\ell^2(\widehat{G})}(a \otimes b) = ab$  for all  $a, b \in \ell^2(\widehat{G})$ . On the other hand suppose that  $\Lambda$  is the collection of all finite subsets of  $\widehat{G}$ . Clearly with the inclusion  $\Lambda$  becomes an ordered set. One can see that

$$\{u_\beta = \sum_{i \in \beta} e_i : \beta \in \Lambda\},$$

here  $e_i$  is an element of  $\ell^2(G)$  equal to 1 at  $i$  and 0 elsewhere, forms a central approximate identity for  $\ell^2(\widehat{G})$ . Then for each  $\phi_\rho \in \Delta(L^2(G))$  we can find an element  $x_0 \in L^2(G)$  such that  $ax_0 = x_0a$  and  $\phi_\rho(x_0) = 1$  for each  $a \in L^2(G)$ . Applying [8, Lemma 3.5] follows that  $L^2(G)$  is  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible, for each  $\phi_\rho \in \Delta(L^2(G))$ . Therefore  $L^2(G)$  is essentially left  $\phi_\rho$ -contractible, for each  $\phi_\rho \in \Delta(L^2(G))$ . □

**Theorem 4** *Let  $G$  be an amenable group and  $A(G)$  be the Fourier algebra on  $G$ . Then  $A(G)$  is essentially left  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if  $G$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* Let  $A(G)$  be essentially left  $\phi$ -contractible. Since  $G$  is amenable by Leptin's Theorem ([10, Theorem 7.1.3]), amenability of  $G$  implies that  $A(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity. By [11, Proposition 2.3] essentially left  $\phi$ -contractibility of  $A(G)$  gives that  $A(G)$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible. Using [1, Theorem 3.5] shows that  $G$  is discrete.

Converse is clear by [1, Theorem 3.5]. □

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are grateful to the referee for carefully reading the paper. Also authors are grateful to Ilam university for its support.

## References

1. M. Alaghmandan, R. Nasr Isfahani and M. Nemati, Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 82: 274–281, (2010).
2. F. Ghahramani and R.J. Loy, Generalized notions of amenability, *J. Func. Anal.*, 208: 229–260, (2004).
3. E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1963).
4. E. Kaniuth and A.T. Lau, Fourier and Fourier Stieltjes Algebras on Locally Compact Groups, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol 231, (2018).
5. E. Kaniuth, A.T. Lau and J. Pym, On  $\phi$ -amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 144: 85–96, (2008).
6. R. Nasr Isfahani and M. Nemati, Essential character amenability of Banach algebras, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 84: 372–386, (2011).
7. R. Nasr Isfahani and S. Soltani Renani, Character contractibility of Banach algebras and homological properties of Banach modules, *Studia Math.*, 202(3): 205–225, (2011).
8. M. Nemati, Some homological properties of Banach algebras associated with locally compact groups, *Colloq. Math.*, 139: 259–271, (2015).
9. W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience Publishers, New York - London, (1962).
10. V. Runde, *Lectures on amenability*, Springer, New York, (2002).
11. R. Sadeghi Nahrekhala, Essential character contractibility for Banach algebras, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A.*, 81(2): 165–176, (2019).
12. M. Sangani Monfared, Character amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 144: 697–706, (2008).
13. Y. Zhang, Nilpotent ideals in a class of Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(11): 3237–3242, (1999).