Solving an Inverse Heat Conduction Problem by Spline Method
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Abstract In this paper, a numerical solution of an inverse non-dimensional heat conduction problem by spline method will be considered. The given heat conduction equation, the boundary condition, and the initial condition are presented in a dimensionless form. A set of temperature measurements at a single sensor location inside the heat conduction body is required. The results show that the proposed method can predict the unknown parameters in the current inverse problem with an acceptable error.
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1 Introduction

Solving IHCPs needs additional information about temperature history. This new data is usually given by a temperature sensor which is located on the boundary or inside the body. To date, various methods have been developed for the analysis of the inverse problems and inverse heat conduction problems involving the estimation of heat flux by measuring temperature inside the material [3, 2, 18, 6, 15, 16, 7–9, 5]. In this work, by using spline method, a stable solution for an inverse heat conduction problem will be presented.
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A possible mathematical model for the temperature in the plate is a one-dimensional IHCP, as follows, [3],

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < t_M, \quad (1a)
\]

\[
T(x, 0) = f(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (1b)
\]

\[
T(0, t) = p(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_M, \quad (1c)
\]

\[
T(1, t) = q(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_M, \quad (1d)
\]

and the overspecified condition

\[
T(a, t) = g(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_M, \quad (1e)
\]

Where \(0 < a < 1\) is a fixed point, \(t_M\) is a given constant, \(f(x)\) is the initial temperature of rod, \(p(t)\) is the temperature at the left hand side and \(q(t)\) is the temperature of the right hand side. In this context we consider that the functions \(f(x), q(t)\) are known functions, while \(T(x, t)\) and \(p(t)\) are unknown functions which remained. Note that, for an unknown function \(p(t)\) we must therefore provide additional information \((1e)\) to provide a unique solution \((T(x, t), p(t))\) to the inverse problem \((1)\).

2 Overview of the Spline method

Consider an inverse diffusion problem described by the equations \((1)\). The application of the present numerical method will find a solution of problem \((1)\), by using the following step.

2.1 Spline method for discretizing

Let \(\Delta\) be a partition of the interval \(0 \leq x \leq 1\), which divides \([0, 1]\) in to \(n\) subinterval with the uniform step length \(h = \frac{1}{n}\). Let \(k > 0\) be the time direction, the grid points \((i, j)\) are given by \(x_i = ih, i = 0(1)n, t_j = jk, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\). Let \(T_{ij}\) be the approximate value of \(T(x_i, t_j)\). we next develop an approximation for \((1)\) in which the time derivative is replaced by a finite difference approximation and the space derivative is replaced by the spline approximation [17]. Let \(n\) be a positive integer, denote

\[
T_x(x_i, t_j) = \frac{\partial T(x_i, t_j)}{\partial x}, T_{xx}(x_i, t_j) = \frac{\partial^2 T(x_i, t_j)}{\partial x^2}, \quad (2)
\]

\[
S''_{\Delta(x_i, t_j)} = M_{ij}^l + O(h^2), \quad (3)
\]

\[
T_t(x_i, t_j) = \frac{\partial T(x_i, t_j)}{\partial t}, T_i(x_i, t_j) = \frac{T(x_i, t_{j+1}) - T(x_i, t_j)}{k} + O(k), \quad (4)
\]
At the grid point \((x_i, t_j)\), the given differential equation (1) may be discretized as

\[
T_t(x_i, t_j) = T_{xx}(x_i, t_j),
\]

(5)

By using (3), (4) in (5) we get

\[
\frac{T(x_i, t_{j+1}) - T(x_i, t_j)}{k} + O(k) = M^j_i + O(h^2),
\]

(6)

neglecting the truncation error we obtain

\[
\frac{T^{j+1}_i - T^j_i}{k} = M^j_i,
\]

(7)

then we have

\[
\frac{T^{j+1}_{i+1} - T^j_i}{k} = M^j_{i+1},
\]

(8)

\[
\frac{T^{j+1}_{i-1} - T^j_i}{k} = M^j_{i-1}.
\]

(9)

Therefore the following, spline relation, is obtained

\[
\alpha M^j_{i+1} + 2\beta M^j_i + \alpha M^j_{i-1} = \frac{1}{h^2}(T^j_{i+1} - 2T^j_i + T^j_{i-1}),
\]

(10)

where \(j = 1(1)n - 1\). Substituting Eqs. (7)-(9) in to (10), it finally obtained the following schemes

\[
\alpha \lambda T^j_{i+1} + 2\beta \lambda T^j_i + \alpha \lambda T^j_{i-1} = (\alpha \lambda + 1)T^j_i + (2\beta \lambda - 2)T^j_i + (\alpha \lambda + 1)T^j_{i-1},
\]

(11)

where \(\lambda = \frac{b^2}{x^2}, i = 1(1)n - 1, j = 0, 1, \ldots,\)

\[
\alpha = \frac{\theta \csc \theta - 1}{\theta^2}, \beta = \frac{1 - \theta \cot \theta}{\theta^2}.
\]

Equation (11) for \(i = 1(1)n - 1\) may be written in the following matrix form

\[
AT^j_{i+1} = BT^j_i + C^j,
\]

(12)

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
2\beta \lambda & \alpha \lambda & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha \lambda & 2\beta \lambda & \alpha \lambda & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \alpha \lambda \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \alpha \lambda \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \alpha \lambda & 2\beta \lambda
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
2\beta \lambda - 2 & \alpha \lambda + 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha \lambda + 1 & 2\beta \lambda - 2 & \alpha \lambda + 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \alpha \lambda + 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \alpha \lambda + 1 & 2\beta \lambda - 2
\end{pmatrix},
\]
and
\[ T_{j+1} = (T_1^j, T_2^j, \ldots, T_n^j), \]
\[ T_j = (T_1^j, T_2^j, \ldots, T_n^j), \]
\[ C_j = ((\alpha \lambda + 1)p(jk) - \alpha \lambda p((j + 1)k), 0, \ldots, 0, (\alpha \lambda + 1)q(jk) - \alpha \lambda q((j + 1)k)), \]

By choosing suitable values of parameters \( \alpha, \beta \) we obtain various methods for solution of inverse heat conduction problem (1).

**Remark 1** In this work the polynomial from proposed for the unknown \( p(t) \) before performing the calculation. Therefore \( p(t) \) approximate as
\[ p(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \ldots + a_j t^j, \]
where \( a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_j \) are constants which remain to be determined.

2.2 Least-squares minimization technique

The estimated coefficients \( a_s, s = 1, 2, \ldots, j \) can be determined by using least square method when the sum of the squares of the deviation between the calculated \( T_{j+1}^i \) and the measured \( g((j + 1)k) \) at \( x = a \) is less than a small number. The error in the estimates \( E(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_j) \) can be expressed as
\[ E(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_j) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} (T_{j+1}^i - g((j + 1)k))^2, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \]
which is to be minimized for each interval \( t_{m-1} \leq t \leq t_m, m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \). To obtain the minimum value of \( E(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_j) \), with respect to \( a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_j \), differentiation of \( E(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_j) \), with respect to \( a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_j \), will be performed [14]. Thus the linear system corresponding to the values of \( a_s \) can be expressed as
\[ \Lambda \Theta = \Upsilon, \Theta = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_j), \]
where \( \Lambda \) is ill-conditioned. On the other hand, as \( g \) is affected by measurement errors, the estimate of \( p(t) \) by (14) will be unstable. Therefore, the Tikhonov regularization method ([19], [13] and [14]) must be used to control this measurement errors.

3 Numerical Results and Discussion

In this section, we are going to study numerically the inverse problems (1) with the unknown boundary condition. The main aim here is to illustrate the applicability of the present method, described in Sections 2 for solving the inverse problem (1). As expected the inverse problems are ill-posed and therefore it is necessary to investigate the stability of the present methods by giving a test problem.
Remark 2 In an inverse problem there are two sources of error in the estimation; the first source is the unavoidable bias deviation, and the second source of error is the variance due to the amplification of measurement errors. Therefore, we compare exact and approximate solutions by considering total error \( S \) defined by

\[
S = \left[ \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} (\hat{p}_\ell - p_\ell)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where \( N, p \) and \( \hat{p} \) are the number of estimated values, the estimated values and the exact values, respectively.

Example 1 In this example we solve the problem (1) with given data,

\[
T(x,0) = 2(\sin(2x) + \cos(2x)) + \frac{1}{4} x^4, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,
\]

\[
T(1,t) = 2e^{-4t}(\sin(2) + \cos(2)) + 3t^2 + 3t + 0.25, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_M,
\]

\[
T(0.1,t) = 2e^{-4t}(\sin 0.2 + \cos 0.2) + 3(t^2 + (0.01)t + \frac{0.0001}{12}), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_M.
\]

The exact solution of this problem is

\[
T(x,t) = 2e^{-4t}(\sin(2x) + \cos(2x)) + 3(t^2 + tx^2 + \frac{1}{12} x^4).
\]

and

\[
p(t) = 2e^{-4t} + 3t^2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_M.
\]

Our results with Spline method obtained for \((p(t),T(x,t))\) when \(t_M = 1\), \(k = 0.002\) and \(h = 0.1\), \(\alpha = \frac{1}{18}\), \(\beta = \frac{4}{9}\) by Tikhonov regularization and approximate solution result from Duhamel’s [3] method by Tikhonov regularization with noisy data are presented in Tables 1 and 1 and and Figures , , , ;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( p(t) ), exact</th>
<th>( p(t) ), cubic spline scheme</th>
<th>( p(t) ), Duhamel’s scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.100000)</td>
<td>(1.370640)</td>
<td>(1.371471)</td>
<td>(1.370641)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.200000)</td>
<td>(1.018658)</td>
<td>(1.014504)</td>
<td>(1.018660)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.300000)</td>
<td>(0.872388)</td>
<td>(0.871520)</td>
<td>(0.872388)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.400000)</td>
<td>(0.883793)</td>
<td>(0.881676)</td>
<td>(0.883785)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.500000)</td>
<td>(1.02671)</td>
<td>(1.021552)</td>
<td>(1.020665)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.600000)</td>
<td>(1.261436)</td>
<td>(1.267904)</td>
<td>(1.261436)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.700000)</td>
<td>(1.591620)</td>
<td>(1.597091)</td>
<td>(1.581620)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.800000)</td>
<td>(2.001524)</td>
<td>(1.999244)</td>
<td>(2.001424)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.900000)</td>
<td>(2.484647)</td>
<td>(2.479174)</td>
<td>(2.487347)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.000000)</td>
<td>(3.036631)</td>
<td>(3.040551)</td>
<td>(3.035531)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \( S \)  | \( 1.2e-003 \)  | \( 6.2e-002 \)  |

Table 1. The comparison between exact and cubic spline solution and Duhamel’s scheme of \( p(t) \) with noisy data.
Table 2. The comparison between exact and cubic spline solution and Duhamel’s scheme of \( T(x, t) \) with noisy data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>Exact ( T(0.5, t) )</th>
<th>Cubic spline scheme ( T(0.5, t) )</th>
<th>Duhamel’s scheme ( T(0.5, t) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.100000</td>
<td>(1.973086)</td>
<td>(1.979823)</td>
<td>(1.946453)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.200000</td>
<td>(1.527367)</td>
<td>(1.527793)</td>
<td>(1.499955)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.300000</td>
<td>(1.342989)</td>
<td>(1.342851)</td>
<td>(1.328802)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400000</td>
<td>(1.353575)</td>
<td>(1.350897)</td>
<td>(1.349223)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500000</td>
<td>(1.514630)</td>
<td>(1.513900)</td>
<td>(1.516022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.600000</td>
<td>(1.796328)</td>
<td>(1.795653)</td>
<td>(1.801043)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.700000</td>
<td>(2.178676)</td>
<td>(2.170030)</td>
<td>(2.184406)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.800000</td>
<td>(2.648273)</td>
<td>(2.649084)</td>
<td>(2.655631)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.900000</td>
<td>(3.196135)</td>
<td>(3.195401)</td>
<td>(3.203425)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>(3.816241)</td>
<td>(3.815907)</td>
<td>(3.825544)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The comparison between exact and cubic spline solution and Duhamel’s scheme of \( T(x, t) \) with noisy data.

Figure 1. Comparison between the exact results of \( p(t) \) and the present numerical results of example 4.1 with noisy data.
Figure 2. Comparison between the exact results of $p(t)$ and the present numerical results of example 4.1 with noisy data.

Figure 3. Comparison between the exact results of $T(x,t)$ and the present numerical results of example 4.1 with noisy data.
Figure 4. Comparison between the exact results of $T(x,t)$ and the present numerical results of example 4.1 with noisy data.

4 Conclusion

A numerical method to estimate unknown boundary condition is proposed for these kinds of IHCPs and the following results are obtained:

1. The present study successfully applies the numerical method to IHCPs.
2. Numerical results show that an excellent estimation can be obtained within a couple of minutes CPU time at pentium(R) 4 CPU 3.20 GHz.
3. The present method has been found stable with respect to small perturbation in the input data.
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