

## On Marginal Automorphisms of a Group Fixing the Certain Subgroup

Mohammad Mehdi Nasrabadi · Samane Hajizade\*

Received: 16 March 2017 / Accepted: 16 July 2017

**Abstract** Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a variety of groups defined by a set  $W$  of laws and  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group in  $\mathcal{W}$ . The automorphism  $\alpha$  of a group  $G$  is said to be a marginal automorphism (with respect to  $W$ ), if for all  $x \in G$ ,  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in W^*(G)$ , where  $W^*(G)$  is the marginal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $M, N$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$ . By  $Aut^M(G)$ , we mean the subgroup of  $Aut(G)$  consisting of all automorphisms which centralize  $G/M$ .  $Aut_N(G)$  is used to show the subgroup of  $Aut(G)$  consisting of all automorphisms which centralize  $N$ . We denote  $Aut_N(G) \cap Aut^M(G)$  by  $Aut_N^M(G)$ . In this paper, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition that  $Aut_{w^*}(G) = Aut_{W^*(G)}^{W^*(G)}(G)$ .

**Keywords**  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent group · marginal automorphism · purely non-abelian group

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 20D45 · 20E36

---

Mohammad Mehdi Nasrabadi  
Department of Mathematics, University of Birjand, Iran.  
Tel.: +98-56-32202346  
Fax: +98-56-32202346  
E-mail: mnasrabadi@birjand.ac.ir

\*Corresponding author  
Samane Hajizade  
Department of Mathematics, University of Birjand, Iran.  
E-mail: hajizadehmath@gmail.com

© 2017 Damghan University. All rights reserved. <http://gadm.du.ac.ir/>

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Introduction and results

Let  $G$  be a group and  $F_\infty$  be the free group on the countable set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  and  $W$  be a non-empty subset of  $F_\infty$ . Suppose that

$$W(G) = \langle w(g_1, \dots, g_r) \mid w = w(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in W \text{ and } g_1, \dots, g_r \in G \rangle$$

and

$$W^*(G) = \left\{ g \in G \mid w(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_i g, g_{i+1}, \dots, g_r) = w(g_1, \dots, g_r) \right. \\ \left. \text{for all } w \in W, \text{ for all } g_1, \dots, g_r \in G \text{ and for all } 1 \leq i \leq r \right\}.$$

For a group  $G$ ,  $W(G)$  and  $W^*(G)$  are called, respectively, the verbal subgroup and the marginal subgroup of  $G$  with respect to  $W$  (see [8]). The verbal subgroup  $W(G)$  is a fully invariant subgroup of  $G$ , and the marginal subgroup  $W^*(G)$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ .

We call an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  a *marginal automorphism* with respect to  $W$  if  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in W^*(G)$  for all  $x \in G$ .

The set of all marginal automorphisms of  $G$  forms a normal subgroup  $Aut_{w^*}(G)$  of the automorphism group  $Aut(G)$  of  $G$ . If we take  $W = \{[x_1, x_2]\}$  where  $[x_1, x_2] = x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1x_2$ , then  $W(G) = G'$  and  $W^*(G) = Z(G)$  where  $G'$  and  $Z(G)$  are the commutator subgroup and the center of  $G$ , respectively.

In this case,  $Aut_{w^*}(G)$  is denoted by  $Aut_c(G)$  and the elements of  $Aut_c(G)$  are called *central automorphism* of  $G$ . There are some well-known results about central automorphisms of  $G$  ( for example see [1], [6] and [7] ). Let  $M, N$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$ . By  $Aut^M(G)$ , we mean the subgroup of  $Aut(G)$  consisting of all automorphisms which centralize  $G/M$ .  $Aut_N(G)$  is used to show the subgroup of  $Aut(G)$  consisting of all automorphisms which centralize  $N$ . We denote  $Aut_N(G) \cap Aut^M(G)$  by  $Aut_N^M(G)$ .

Let be  $\mathcal{W}$  a variety of groups defined by set  $W$  of laws then a group  $G$  is said to be  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent if there exist a series

$$1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G \quad (1)$$

such that  $G_i \trianglelefteq G$  and  $G_{i+1}/G_i \leq W^*(G/G_i)$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . The length of the shortest series (1) is the  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent class of  $G$ .

For a finite  $p$ -group  $G$  define  $\Omega_1(G) = \langle x \in G \mid x^p = 1 \rangle$ .

A non-abelian group  $G$  is called purely non-abelian if it has no non-trivial abelian direct factor. In this article such that group showed by PN-group. For any group  $H$  and abelian group  $K$ ,  $Hom(H, K)$  denote the group of all homomorphisms from  $H$  to  $K$ .

Azhdari and Malayeri [4] found necessary and sufficient condition that

$Aut_N^M(G) = Aut_c(G)$  and several corollaries where  $M, N$  are normal subgroups of a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ .

Through this article,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a variety of groups and  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group in

$\mathcal{W}$  which  $W^*(G) \leq Z(G)$  and  $G/W(G)$  is abelian also by the assumption  $M \leq W^*(G)$  we have :

$$M = C_{p^{a_1}} \times C_{p^{a_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{a_s}} \tag{2}$$

$$W^*(G) = C_{p^{b_1}} \times C_{p^{b_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{b_{s'}}} \tag{3}$$

$$G/W(G) = C_{p^{d_1}} \times C_{p^{d_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{d_r}} \tag{4}$$

$$\tag{5}$$

where  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots a_s > 0$  ,  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots b_{s'} > 0$  and also  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \cdots d_r > 0$

Let  $t$  be the smallest integer between 1 and  $s$  such that  $a_j = b_j$  for all  $t \neq j$  and  $t + 1 \leq j \leq s$ . By this assumption our main results are the following.

**Main theorem:** Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a variety of group,  $G$  be finite  $p$ -group in  $\mathcal{W}$  which is  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent . Let  $M_1, M_2, N_1$  and  $N_2$  be normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $M_i \leq W^*(G) \cap N_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $M_1 \leq M_2$  and  $N_1 \leq N_2$ . Then  $Aut_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = Aut_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:

- (i)  $M_1 = M_2$  and  $N_1 \leq W(G)G^{p^n}N_2$  where  $exp(M_1) = p^n$  or
- (ii)  $N_1 = N_2$ ,  $s = s'$  and  $exp(G/W(G)N_1) \leq p^{a_t}$ , where  $t$  is the smallest integer between 1 and  $s$  such that  $a_j = b_j$ .

By using the above notation we have the following corollary.

**Corollary.** Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group which is  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent group. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $M \leq W^*(G) \leq W(G)$ . Then

- (i)  $Aut_N^M(G) = Aut_{W^*(G)}^{W^*(G)}(G)$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:  
 $M = W^*(G)$  and  $N \leq W(G)G^{p^n}W^*(G)$  where  $exp(W^*(G)) \leq p^{a_n}$  or  
 $N = W^*(G)$ ,  $s = s'$  and  $exp(G/W(G)W^*(G)) \leq p^{a_t}$ ;
- (ii)  $Aut_N^M(G) = Aut_{W^*(G)}(G)$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:  
 $M = W^*(G)$  and  $N \leq W(G)G^{p^n}$  where  $exp(W^*(G)) = p^{a_n}$  or  $N \leq W(G)$ ,  
 $s = s'$  and  $exp(G/W(G)) \leq p^{a_t}$ .

### 3 Preliminary results

Adeney and Yen [1, Theorem 1] prove that if  $G$  is a purely non-abelian, then there exist a bijection between  $Aut_c(G)$  and  $Hom(G/G', Z(G))$  . Also Jamali and Mousavi in [6] prove that if  $G$  is a finite group such that  $Z(G) \leq G'$  then  $Aut_c(G) \cong Hom(G/G', Z(G))$ .

Similarly Attar in [3] prove the following theorems about marginal automorphisms of a group  $G$ :

**Theorem 1** [3] Let  $G$  be a group and  $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq F_\infty$  be the set of laws, Then  $Aut_{w^*}(G)$  acts trivially on  $W(G)$ .

**Theorem 2** [3] Let  $G$  be a purely non-abelian finite group and  $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq F_\infty$  be the set of laws such that  $W^*(G) \leq Z(G)$ , then

$$|Aut_{w^*}(G)| = |Hom(G/W(G), W^*(G))|.$$

**Theorem 3** [3] Let  $G$  be a group and  $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq F_\infty$  be the set of laws such that  $W^*(G) \leq Z(G) \cap W(G)$ , Then  $Aut_{w^*}(G) \cong Hom(G/W(G), W^*(G))$

**Proposition 1** [3] Let  $G$  be a purely non-abelian finite group and  $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq F_\infty$  be the set of laws such that  $W^*(G)$  is abelian, Then

- (1) For each  $\alpha \in Hom(G, W^*(G))$  and  $t \in W(G)$  we have  $\alpha(t) = 1$ ;
- (2)  $Hom(G/W(G), W^*(G)) \cong Hom(G, W^*(G))$ .

By this proposition we have  $Aut_{w^*}(G) \cong Aut_{W^*(G)}^{W(G)}(G)$  We recall that through this article  $\mathcal{W}$  is a variety of groups and  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group in  $\mathcal{W}$  which  $W^*(G) \leq Z(G)$  and  $G/W(G)$  is abelian with abelian direct factor (4).

**Theorem 4** [3] Let  $\mathcal{W}$  is a variety of groups and  $G$  is  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent group and  $1 \neq N \triangleleft G$ , then  $N \cap W^*(G) \neq 1$ .

**Lemma 1** [3] Let  $G$  be a finite PN-group and  $M, N$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $M \leq Z(G)$  (in particular  $M \leq W^*(G)$  since  $W^*(G) \leq Z(G)$ ), then

$$|Aut_N^M(G)| = |Hom(G/N, M)|.$$

**Lemma 2** [3] Let  $G$  be a group and  $M, N$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $M \leq Z(G) \cap N$ , then

$$Aut_N^M(G) \cong Hom(G/N, M)$$

and  $Aut_N^M(G)$  is abelian.

**Lemma 3** [9] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two finite abelian  $p$ -groups such that  $A = C_{p^{a_1}} \times C_{p^{a_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{a_s}}$  where  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_s > 0$  and  $B = C_{p^{b_1}} \times C_{p^{b_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{b_s}}$  where  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_s > 0$ . Let  $b_j \geq a_j$  for all  $j, 1 \leq j \leq s$  and  $b_j > a_j$  for some such  $j$ . Let  $t$  be the smallest integer between 1 and  $s$  such that  $a_j = b_j$  for all  $j$  such that  $t + 1 \leq j \leq s$ . Then for any finite abelian  $p$ -group  $C$ ,  $|Hom(A, C)| < |Hom(B, C)|$  if and only if the exponent of  $C$  is at least  $p^{a_t+1}$ .

#### 4 Proof of the main results

**Proof of The Main Theorem:** We proceed with a series of steps. Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group which is  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent.

**Step 1.** Let  $M \leq W^*(G)$  and  $\exp(M) = p^n$  and  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then for all  $f \in \text{Hom}(G/N, M)$  we have  $\ker f = W(G)G^{p^n}N/N$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $W(G)G^{p^n}N/N \leq \ker f$  for all  $f \in \text{Hom}(G/N, M)$ . To prove the converse inclusion, let  $x \notin W(G)G^{p^n}N$ . Since  $M \leq W^*(G)$ , then  $\text{Hom}(G/N, M) \cong \text{Hom}(G/W(G)N, M)$ . Put  $\bar{G} = G/W(G)N$ .  $\bar{G}$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group and so there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t \in \bar{G}$  such that  $\bar{G} = \langle \bar{x}_1 \rangle \times \langle \bar{x}_2 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \bar{x}_t \rangle$  and  $xW(G)N = x_1^{p^{s_1}} \dots x_t^{p^{s_t}} W(G)N$  for suitable  $s_i \geq 0$  (See [7 lemma 2.2] ) Since  $\bar{x} \notin W(G)G^{p^n}N/W(G)N$ ,  $x_j^{p^{s_j}} \notin G^{p^n}$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq t$  and therefor  $s_j < n$ . Now choose element  $z \in M$  such that  $|z| = \min\{|\bar{x}_j|, p^n\}$ , and define a homomorphism  $f_z : \bar{x}_j \mapsto z$  from  $\bar{G}$  to  $M$ . When  $M \leq W^*(G)$  and  $\bar{K}$  is a direct factor of  $\bar{G}$  then any element  $f$  of  $\text{Hom}(\bar{K}, M)$  induces an element  $\bar{f}$  of  $\text{Hom}(\bar{G}, M)$  which is trivial on the complement of  $\bar{K}$  of  $\bar{G}$ . To simplify the notion, we will identify  $f$  with the corresponding homomorphism from  $\bar{G}$  to  $M$ . We have  $f_z(\bar{x}) = f_z(\bar{x}_j^{p^{s_j}}) = z_j^{p^{s_j}} \neq 1$ . Thus  $\bar{x} \notin \ker f$  for  $f \in \text{Hom}(G/N, M)$  and consequently the equality holds.

**Step 2.** Let  $N_1, N_2$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $N_2 \leq N_1$  and  $M \leq W^*(G) \cap N_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^M = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^M$  if and only if  $N_1 \leq W(G)G^{p^n}N_2$  where  $\exp(M) = p^n$ .

**Proof.** Since  $N_2 \leq N_1$ ,  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^M \leq \text{Aut}_{N_2}^M$ . Suppose that  $N_1 \leq W(G)G^{p^n}N_2$ , by using Step 1,  $\bar{N}_1 \leq \ker f$  for all  $f \in \text{Hom}(G/N_2, M)$ . We have  $\text{Hom}(G/N_2, M) \cong \text{Hom}(G/N_1N_2, M) \cong \text{Hom}(G/N_1, M)$ , since  $N_2 \leq N_1$ . That is  $|\text{Aut}_{N_1}^M(G)| = |\text{Aut}_{N_2}^M(G)|$  and hence  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^M(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^M(G)$ . Conversely, assume that  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^M(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^M(G)$ . Then  $\alpha(n) = n$  for all  $n \in N_1$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{N_2}^M(G)$ . By Lemma 2  $\alpha^*(\bar{n}) = 1$  for all  $\alpha^* \in \text{Hom}(G/N_2, M)$  and  $n \in N_1$ . Consequently by Step 1,  $N_1 \leq W(G)G^{p^n}N_2$ , as required.

**Step 3.** Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $M_1 < M_2 \leq W^*(G)G^{p^n}N$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_N^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_N^{M_2}(G)$  if and only if  $s = s'$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N) \leq p^{a_t}$  where  $t$  is the smallest integer between 1 and  $s$  such that  $a_j = b_j$  for all  $t+1 \leq j \leq s$ .

**Proof.** Since  $M_1 \leq M_2$ ,  $\text{Aut}_N^{M_1}(G) \leq \text{Aut}_N^{M_2}(G)$  by using Lemma 2  $\text{Aut}_N^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_N^{M_2}(G)$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}(G/N, M_1) \cong \text{Hom}(G/N, M_2)$ . first assume that  $\text{Aut}_N^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_N^{M_2}(G)$ , then clearly  $s = s'$ . So by applying Lemma 3 with  $A = M_1, B = M_2$  and  $C = W(G)N$  we get  $\exp(G/W(G)N) \leq p^{a_t}$ , since if  $\exp(G/W(G)N) \geq p^{a_t+1}$ , then we have  $|\text{Hom}(G/N, M_1)| < |\text{Hom}(G/N, M_2)|$  which is a contradiction. Now suppose that  $s = s'$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N) \leq p^{a_t}$  then  $|\text{Hom}(G/N, M_1)| = |\text{Hom}(G/N, M_2)|$  and therefor  $\text{Aut}_N^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_N^{M_2}(G)$ .

**Step 4.** First assume that  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$ . By Lemma 2  $\text{Hom}(G/N_1, M_1) \cong \text{Hom}(G/N_2, M_2)$ . If  $M_1 \leq M_2$  and  $N_2 \leq N_1$  then by Lemma D [5]  $\text{Hom}(G/N_1, M_1) \leq \text{Hom}(G/N_2, M_2)$ . This contradiction implies  $M_1 = M_2$  or  $N_1 = N_2$ . If  $M_1 = M_2$  then by Step 2,  $N_1 \leq W(G)G^{p^n}N_2$ . Else, since  $M_1 \neq M_2, N_1 = N_2$  then by Step 3, it follows that  $s = s'$  and

$\exp(G/W(G)N) \leq p^{\alpha t}$ .

Conversly, if (i) or (ii) holds it is easy to see that  $\text{Hom}(G/N_1, M_1) \cong \text{Hom}(G/N_2, M_2)$ .

On the other hand since  $M_1 \leq M_2$  and  $N_2 \leq N_1$ ,  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) \leq \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$  and consequently  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that in the Proof of The Main Theorem, we use the conditions  $M_i \leq W^*(G) \cap N_i$  only to prove the equality  $|\text{Aut}_{N_i}^{M_i}| = |\text{Hom}(G/N_i, M)|$ . So by Lemma 1 we may substitute this condition by "G be a PN-group". And by using the same argument, we can easily prove the following Theorem.

**Theorem 5** *Let G be a finite p-group which is PN and W-nilpotent group. Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two subgroups which is marginal subgroup and  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be two normal subgroups of G such that  $M_1 \leq M_2$  and  $N_2 \leq N_1$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) \leq \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:*

- (i)  $M_1 = M_2$  and  $N_1 \leq W(G)G^{p^n}N_2$  where  $\exp(M_1) \leq p^n$ , or
- (ii)  $N_1 = N_2$ ,  $s = s'$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_1) \leq p^{\alpha t}$  where  $t$  is the smallest integer between 1 and  $s$  such that  $a_j = b_j$  for all  $t + 1 \leq j \leq s$ .

The Main Theorem has a number of important consequences. As a first application of this we get the following result.

**Corollary 1** *Let G be a finite p-group which is W-nilpotent group. Let M and N be two normal subgroups of G such that  $M \leq W^*(G) \leq W(G)$ . Then*

- (i)  $\text{Aut}_N^M(G) = \text{Aut}_{W^*(G)}^{W^*(G)}(G)$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:  
 $M = W^*(G)$  and  $N \leq W(G)G^{p^n}W^*(G)$  where  $\exp(W^*(G)) \leq p^{\alpha n}$  or  
 $N = W^*(G)$ ,  $s = s'$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)W^*(G)) \leq p^{\alpha t}$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Aut}_N^M(G) = \text{Aut}_{w^*}(G)$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:  
 $M = W^*(G)$  and  $N \leq W(G)G^{p^n}$  where  $\exp(W^*(G)) = p^{\alpha n}$  or  $N \leq W(G)$ ,  
 $s = s'$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)) \leq p^{\alpha t}$ .

*Proof* (i) The result follows immediately by applying The Main Theorem with  $M_1 = M$ ,  $N_1 = N$  and  $M_2 = N_2 = W^*(G)$ . To prove (ii), note that if M contained in the marginal subgroup of G, then  $\text{Aut}_N^M(G) = \text{Aut}_{NW(G)}^M(G)$ .

Also  $M \leq W^*(G) \leq N$  follows that  $\text{Aut}_{w^*}(G) = \text{Aut}_{W^*(G)}^{W^*(G)}(G)$  and since G is a PN-group therefor, by applying Theorem 5 with  $M_1 = M$ ,  $N_1 = NW(G)$ ,  $M_2 = W^*(G)$  and  $N_2 = W(G)$ , (ii) holds.

Conversely, if the firs part of (ii) holds then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(G/N, M) &\cong \text{Hom}(G/N, W^*(G)) \cong \text{Hom}(G/W(G)N, W^*(G)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(G/W(G), W^*(G)) \end{aligned}$$

Now if the second part of (ii) holds then

$$\text{Hom}(G/N, M) \cong \text{Hom}(G/NW(G), W^*(G)) \cong \text{Hom}(G/N, W^*(G))$$

hence  $|\text{Hom}(G/N, M)| = |\text{Hom}(G/W(G), W^*(G))|$  or equivalently

$$\text{Aut}_N^M(G) = \text{Aut}_{w^*}(G).$$

This corollary yields some following results.

**Corollary 2** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group which is  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent group. Then  $\text{Aut}_{w^*}(G) = \text{Aut}_{W^*(G)}^{W^*(G)}(G)$  if and only if  $W^*(G) \leq W(G)G^{p^n}$  where  $\exp(W^*(G)) = p^n$ .*

*Proof* It follows from Corollary 1 when  $M = N = W^*(G)$ .

**Corollary 3** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group which is  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent group. Let  $M_1, M_2, N_1$  and  $N_2$  be normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $M_i \leq W^*(G) \cap N_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:*

- (i)  $M_1 = M_2$  and  $N_i \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_j}} N_j$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ;
- (ii)  $M_1 \leq M_2, s = s_1 = s_2, N_1 \leq N_2 \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_1}} N_1$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_2) \leq p^{a^{t_2}}$ ;
- (iii)  $M_2 \leq M_1, s = s_1 = s_2, N_2 \leq N_1 \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_2}} N_2$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_1) \leq p^{a^{t_1}}$ ;
- (iv)  $N_1 = N_2, s = s_1 = s_2$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_1) \leq p^{a^{t_i}}$  for  $i = 1, 2$

*Proof* First assume that  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$ . Therefore we have  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_1 N_2}^{M_1 \cap M_2}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$ . Clearly,  $M_1 \cap M_2 \leq M_i$  and  $N_i \leq N_1 N_2$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and so we may apply The Main Theorem. Since  $\text{Aut}_{N_i}^{M_i}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_1 N_2}^{M_1 \cap M_2}(G)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  one of the following case happens.

- (I)  $M_i = M_1 \cap M_2$  and  $N_1 N_2 \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_i}} N_i$ . So  $M_i \leq M_j$  and  $N_j \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_i}} N_i$  for  $i \neq j$ . Or,
- (II)  $N_i = N_1 N_2, s = s_i$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_i) \leq p^{a^{t_i}}$ . So  $N_j \leq N_i, s = s_i$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_i) \leq p^{a^{t_i}}$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Therefore we have the following four cases:

- (1) If for  $i = 1, 2$  (I) holds, then  $M_1 = M_2$  and  $N_i \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_j}} N_j$  for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$  and hence (i) follows.
- (2) If for  $i = 1$  (I) and for  $i = 2$  (II) happen, then  $M_1 \leq M_2, N_2 \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_1}} N_1$  and so  $s = s_2, N_1 \leq N_2$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_2) \leq p^{a^{t_2}}$ . Since  $a^{t_2} \leq n_1, G^{p^{n_1}} \leq W(G)N_2$  and  $N_1 \leq N_2$  implies that  $W(G)N_2 = W(G)G^{p^{n_1}} N_1$ . Furthermore from  $M_1 \leq M_2$ , it follows that  $s = s_1$  and consequently,  $M_1 \leq M_2, s = s_1 = s_2, N_1 \leq N_2 \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_1}} N_1$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_2) \leq p^{a^{t_2}}$  and so in this case (ii) holds.

- (3) If for  $i = 1$  (II) and for  $i = 2$  (I) happen, then with the argument similar to the case (2) we may conclude (ii) holds.
- (4) Finally if  $i = 1, 2$  (II) holds, then evidently  $N_1 = N_2 = N_1N_2$ ,  $s = s_1 = s_2$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_1) \leq p^{a^{t_i}}$ , where  $i = 1, 2$  that is (iv).

Conversely. First assume that (i) holds. Then we have  $M_1 = M_2 = M$  and from  $N_i \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_j}}N_j$ . It follows that  $\text{Hom}(G/N_j, M) \cong \text{Hom}(G/N_1N_2, M)$  for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ . Consequently  $\text{Aut}_{N_i}^M(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_1N_2}^M(G)$  and hence  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^M(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^M(G)$ .

Now suppose that (ii) holds. Since  $N_2 = N_1N_2$ ,  $s = s_2$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_2) \leq p^{a^{t_2}}$ , we have  $\text{Aut}_{N_1N_2}^{M_1 \cap M_2}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_1N_2}^{M_2}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$ . Also  $N_2 \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_1}}N_1$  concludes that  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_1N_2}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_1N_2}^{M_1 \cap M_2}(G)$  since  $M_1 \leq M_2$ . Therefore  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$ . The case (ii) follows, by a similar argument.

Finally suppose that (iv) holds. So  $N_1 = N_2 = N$ ,  $s = s_1 = s_2$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_i) \leq p^{a^{t_i}}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , it follows that  $\text{Aut}_N^{M_i}(G) = \text{Aut}_N^{M_1 \cap M_2}(G)$  and this completes the proof.

Note that here also, the condition " $M_i \leq W^*(G) \cap N_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ " can be replaced by condition " $G$  be a PN-group".

**Corollary 4** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group which is PN and  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent group. Let  $M_1, M_2, N_1$  and  $N_2$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $M_i \leq W^*(G)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$  if and only if one of the following statements holds:*

- (i)  $M_1 = M_2$  and  $N_i \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_j}}N_j$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ;
- (ii)  $M_1 \leq M_2$ ,  $s = s_1 = s_2$ ,  $N_1 \leq N_2 \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_1}}N_1$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_2) \leq p^{a^{t_2}}$ ;
- (iii)  $M_2 \leq M_1$ ,  $s = s_1 = s_2$ ,  $N_2 \leq N_1 \leq W(G)G^{p^{n_2}}N_2$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_1) \leq p^{a^{t_1}}$ ;
- (iv)  $N_1 = N_2$ ,  $s = s_1 = s_2$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_1) \leq p^{a^{t_i}}$  for  $i = 1, 2$

Another interesting equality is indicated by the following result.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group which is PN and  $\mathcal{W}$ -nilpotent group. Let  $M, N_1$  and  $N_2$  be normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $M \leq W^*(G)$ . If the invariants of  $M$  (in the cyclic decomposition) are greater than or equal to  $\exp(G/W(G)N_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  then  $\text{Aut}_{N_1}^{M_1}(G) = \text{Aut}_{N_2}^{M_2}(G)$  if and only if  $W(G)N_1 = W(G)N_2$ .*

*Proof* Let  $M = C_{p^{a_1}} \times C_{p^{a_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{a_s}}$  and  $\exp(G/W(G)N_i) = p^{n_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . First assume that  $N_2 \leq N_1$ . By assumption  $a_i \leq a_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq s$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Consequently we have

$$\text{Hom}(G/N_i, M) \cong \text{Hom}(G/N_i, C_{p^{a_1}}) \times \cdots \times \text{Hom}(G/N_i, C_{p^{a_s}}) \cong (G/W(G)N_i)^n.$$

Therefore  $Aut_{N_1}^M(G) = Aut_{N_2}^M(G)$  if and only if  $G/W(G)N_1 = G/W(G)N_2$  or equivalently  $W(G)N_1 = W(G)N_2$ . Since  $Aut_{N_1}^M(G) = Aut_{N_2}^M(G)$  if and only if  $Aut_{N_i}^M(G) = Aut_{N_1N_2}^M(G)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , the general case follows.

## References

1. J.E. Adney and T. Yen, Automorphisms of a  $p$ -group, *Illinois J. Math.*, 9, 137–143 (1965).
2. M.S. Attar, Finite  $p$ -group in which each central automorphism fixes center element-wise, *Communication in Algebra*, 40, 1096–1102 (2012).
3. M.S. Attar, On the marginal automorphisms of a group, *Comm. Algebra*, 37, no 7, 2300–2308 (2009).
4. A.Z. Azhdari, M.A. Malayeri, On automorphisms fixing certain groups, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 12, 1250163 (2013).
5. M.J. Curran, D.J. McCaughan, Central automorphisms that are almost inner, *Commun. Algebra*, 29(5), 2081–2087 (2001).
6. A. Jamali, H. Mousavi, On the central automorphisms group of finite  $p$ -groups, *Algebra Colloquium*, 9, 7–14 (2002).
7. S.H. Jaffari, Central automorphism groups fixing the center element-wise, *Ent. Electron. J. Algebra*, 9, 167–170 (2011).
8. S.M. Tehrani, F. Shahini, Varietal nilpotent groups, *World Applied Science Journal*, 12, 2314–2316 (2012).
9. M.K. Yadave, On central automorphisms fixing the center element-wise, *Commun. Algebra*, 37, 4325–4331 (2009).